

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/580,855 | 05/26/2006 | Juan Carlos Sanchez Recio | 06057 | 7585 |
| 2338 7590 08/31/2011 DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET SUITE 105 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | RIPA, BRYAN D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | ., | | 1723 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/31/2011 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------------|--|
| 10/580,855 | SANCHEZ RECIO ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| BRYAN D. RIPA | 1723 | |

| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| (1) <u>BRYAN D. RIPA</u> . | (3) | | | |
| (2) Mr. Malcolm MacDonald. | (4) | | | |
| Date of Interview: 25 August 2011. | | | | |
| Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant] | ☑ applicant's representative] | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description: | ⊠ No. | | | |
| Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Oth | PTS led description of the discussion) | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>Z</u> . | | | | |
| $\label{eq:density} \mbox{Identification of prior art discussed: } \underline{\textit{Carosella and Globus}}.$ | | | | |
| Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments.) | | | | |
| The Examiner discussed the grounds of rejection being ap- method of independent claim 7 to the treatment of mangam- asses from feroalioy production furnaces. The Examiner a Applicant's disclosed invention and potential areas for disti- such as by further defining the secondary purification and | ese bearing sludges resulting from the treatment of exhaust also discussed differences between Carosella and aguishing Applicant's method from the method of Carosella. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. | | | | |
| Examiner recordation instructions. Examiners must summarize the sub the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713 appeneral thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication or general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to v | .04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the fany other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the | | | |
| Attachment | | | | |
| /Harry D Wilkins, III/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1723 | /B. D. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 1723 | | | |
| | | | | |